

Application No. 09/467,851  
Amendment "F" dated July 20, 2006  
Reply to Office Action mailed July 3, 2006

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
JUL 25 2006

### REMARKS

The Non-Final Office Action dated July 3, 2006, considered and rejected claims 1-41. However, claims 40-41 were only objected to because of minor informalities which have been fixed by the amendments to claims 40-41<sup>1</sup> and the only other rejections of record correspond to provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejections based on U.S. Patent Application No. 10/893518. To overcome the provisional rejections to the claims, Applicants have filed a terminal disclaimer with this response corresponding to U.S. Patent Application No. 10/893518.<sup>2</sup>

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all of the rejections to the claims are now moot and such that the application is now in condition for prompt allowance. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 20<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,



RICK D. NYDEGGER  
Registration No. 28,651  
JENS C. JENKINS  
Registration No. 44,803  
Attorneys for Applicant  
Customer No. 047973

JCI:ahy  
AIIY000000948V001

<sup>1</sup> In particular, the term "method" has been replaced with the term "system" in claims 40-41.

<sup>2</sup> As requested by the Examiner, a terminal disclaimer will also be filed in the prosecution of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/893518 corresponding to U.S. Patent No. 6,668,378 and 6,460,180.